

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/009,009	BRAIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas McKenzie Ph.D.

(2) Joseph Borovian.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 February 2004

Time: 10:00am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

enablement for disease treatment

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Since the only remaining issue is enablement of diseases other than pain, the Examiner offered to limit claim 18 by Examiner's amendment and to allow the case. Applicants declined.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)